

The Brexit-Deal Chaos

Democracy overthrown

Introduction

Westminster melodrama: 'Deal or no deal'

Yesterday was an exceptional day in Westminster. After the presentation of the Brexit Withdrawal Agreement deal negotiated by Theresa May there were multiple earth-shattering events in one day.

Purpose of this paper

While Christians must not be disturbed by this, they ought to know what happened and what is really going on. In addition, observers outside the UK are getting confusing and erroneous information about this, fed by elite forces; they also need to understand the situation.

Now I am not interested in political melodrama at all; what is important is to see through all this and understand just how undemocratic the UK government is.

Initial facts

Ministerial resignations

First the Brexit Secretary, Dominic Raab, resigned just after 9am. This alone was serious because he was the ministerial head of the department tasked with securing Britain's orderly exit from the EU. In fact he was the second Brexit Secretary to resign; that alone is telling. It appears that even though he was the minister in charge of negotiations, he had not been involved in the final negotiated deal.¹ This alone implies a conspiracy. He first saw what it contained when it was outlined at the Cabinet meeting and published. On reading it for himself he was forced to resign as it contradicted stated Tory policy, manifesto promises and General Election promises.

Shortly after this the Work and Pensions Secretary, Esther McVey, also resigned. This was shocking; two cabinet ministers resigned within an hour. This alone would have toppled previous governments.

Then two lower grade ministers resigned. Then two Parliamentary Private Secretaries resigned. Eventually seven ministers resigned in one day. Some of these were Remainers. The real mystery is why Brexiteers Michael Gove, Chris Grayling, Liam Fox, Penny Mordaunt and Andrea Leadsom did not resign as well. Apparently this group had signalled that they would resign if the deal was bad and McVey was angry that they reneged.²

¹ Sunday Times, Tim Shipman, 'Hoodwinked', 18 November 2018. Other people contradicted this.

² Leadsom subsequently stated that they were trying to get the deal reworded and watered down, but the EU have said that this is impossible.

No-confidence letters

Following this the very high profile MP Jacob Rees-Mogg publicly sent his letter in a vote of no-confidence in the PM to the 1922 Committee head. After him, several other leading MPs also sent their letter in. It was reported by some that there were 70 letters of no-confidence, others said fewer but many affirmed there were the necessary 48 letters required to trigger a vote of no confidence which the PM had to win by at least one vote to remain in office.³

It appears that the chairman Graham Brady has had the necessary letters for some time but is delaying triggering a no-confidence vote and is telephoning the writers to ask if they are sure they want to do this. How much longer he can frustrate action is uncertain. The current expectation is an announcement by Tuesday 20 September.

Despite many questions, Brady has refused to say how many letters he now has; he has not even told his wife. If he did not have 48 he would have said so to end the confusion; it is telling that he has not said this. Within two days several other MPs publicly sent in letters, such as Zac Goldsmith. By 18 November it was known that over 25 letters had been sent, plus a number of others not publicly acknowledged.

Four hours of questioning

Following this, despite a previous gruelling three hour Q and A session in Parliament answering a barrage of critical questions from MPs regarding the hateful deal, Theresa May then attended a press briefing in Downing Street answering questions from journalists and affirming that she will continue and not resign.

Westminster has never seen anything like this, not even the political assassination of Margaret Thatcher.

The likely rejection of the deal

What prompted all of this is the universal rejection, indeed hatred, of the proposed deal to get 'out' of the EU.

What the deal is – exit proposals, not a trade agreement

Now this deal is 585 pages of gobbledegook legal verbiage to cover our actual leaving of the EU alone. Apart from several pages of draft proposals, there is no deal regarding the future relationship with the EU. Indeed, negotiating a trade deal with the EU is going to take years, possibly many years, hence the opened-ended lock-in with the EU.

No one likes this deal

This deal pleases no one, apart from a very few loyal supporters of May.⁴ There has never been such a universal rejection of a policy in Parliament that I can remember.

The Tory Brexiteers reject it. The Tory remainers reject it. The DUP⁵ (whose votes are required for the PM to get policies past the Commons) reject it. The SNP⁶ reject it (even though it is close to what they want). The Labour Party rejects it. The LibDems reject it. In short, it has no chance whatsoever of getting voted in by the Commons; it is a dead duck.

³ E.g. Anne Marie Morris MP and ERG (European Research Group led by Rees-Mogg) member, BBC 2, 'Newsnight', 14 November 2018.

⁴ I was saddened to see Rory Stewart, whom I respect, avidly defending this deal on Newsnight.

⁵ The N Ireland party allied to the Conservatives.

⁶ The Scottish Nationalist Party, the ruling party in devolved Scotland.

Yet the EU, such as statements by Michel Barnier (chief Brexit negotiator) and Angela Merkel, has said that this is the only deal on the table. President Macron has stated that it cannot be changed in any substantial way. The British PM, Theresa May, said that if this deal was rejected then Britain would remain in the EU (was that the intention?).

The sabotage of Brexit by the government

The people's choice

The 2016 referendum was a truly democratic action giving the people their say on the future of Britain. It was the most successful plebiscite in decades with half the population actually voting (about 35 million people). This was a far bigger share of the electorate than any recent General Election.

In a fair vote, 17.4 million people elected to leave the EU. This was a huge democratic statement that parliament was legally fixed to abide by according to the Referendum Act. It was far bigger than any recent vote for a PM.

To this must be added the fact that in the subsequent General Election, 85% of the voters voted for parties whose manifesto declared that they would abide by the referendum and leave the EU. The party that declared they wanted to keep us in the EU (the Lib Dems) saw their vote collapse.

These two votes show that the overwhelming choice of the public is to leave the EU. Public discourse since has expressed frustration with politicians and want the exit to be as quick as possible.

The oft heard mantra from remainers and the media that the vote was too close and not sufficient to trigger an exit from the EU is: a) politically irrelevant – the rules are the rules; b) sour grapes; c) a deception since the subsequent General Election showed that an even larger proportion (85%) wanted out; e) pointless since Article 50 has been actioned and an Act of Parliament passed stating that Britain will leave the EU next March. The only thing that can stop this is a new Act abolishing the previous Act. This would be treason since the public has twice shown that it wants to leave the EU. In any case, the government has stated its commitment to leaving the EU and only the government could bring forward such an Act.

So, the people have chosen to leave the EU and all the parliamentary legal protocols have been established to initiate leaving the EU.

This democratic vote shocked the establishment

This caused a shock for the establishment, which is pro EU. Most politicians, virtually all the chief civil servants, all the people committed to global elite objectives (such as think tanks, NGO heads, corporate chiefs, bankers, financial services managers etc.), the media, all the ruling class - the Deep State, are committed to staying in the EU. Thus the establishment and the voice of the people were in complete opposition.

The ruling establishment was now at war with the democratic will of the people. George Soros and other elite figures poured millions into frustrating democracy.

The global elite needed a stitch-up

So, the government cannot legally stay in the EU and an official reversal of the referendum would cause a revolution. Therefore, in obedience to the global elite, it had to come up with a plan to remain in the EU by deception.

How the people's choice is being reversed

The PM and Cabinet

Since the position of the people is that Britain must leave the EU, in a representative government it would be logical that the PM and all her Cabinet should be Brexiteers. Sadly this is far from the case. The PM is a Remainer, as is the majority of her Cabinet including the Chancellor.

Now if the PM and all the Cabinet were honourable, righteous people truly representing the people, then this would not be a difficulty; sadly they are not. As in all other western government's, the politicians in control are subservient to all sorts of vested interests, chiefly the globalists that control world power and finance. Some are worse, being committed to personal interests of greed and power and vacillate with the wind.

I cannot see how a committed remain voter can truly fight (and a fight is necessary) in negotiations for the country. Indeed, in the negotiations May's personal interest is in the success of the opposing party. This explains the mess we are in; the PM has never fought the right battles from the start. None of the chaotic fudging, yielding and panics of the last two years were necessary.

The civil service

The civil service mandarins that surround the PM are all convinced remainers. Indeed this is only logical since virtually all those in positions of power in this country are either Freemasons (allied to the globalists) or graduates of Common Purpose (an elite power group and training facility), or both.

It is reported by those in the know that these civil servants have been very active with the PM trying to find ways of obfuscating the truth and creating a meandering, arcane text in the deal that secures our continuance in the EU while outwardly pretending to be leaving it. This is what they have done.

This is why the Withdrawal Agreement deal is 585 pages of obscure legal jargon to just describe our exit from a club. In actual fact the deal proposes that we don't leave the EU at all and the terms of the deal are actually worse than our current membership and worse than the 'Chequers draft'.

- We continue to pay for something we voted to leave two years ago.
- We are still under the jurisdiction of the ECJ and have no say in any new laws.
- We are still in the customs union.
- We are virtually in a sort of single market; N Ireland is fully in it.
- But worse, we cannot unilaterally leave this transition period without the EU's permission.

We are in the worst sort of vassal state – paying for the privilege to the tune over 40 billion pounds.⁷ It is truly insane.

⁷ The current bill is £39bn but if we continue in this transition period for years, further bills will accrue. The EU has already threatened an additional £10bn.

These details deny Theresa May's previous promises to the country: to leave the customs union and the single market.

Now Theresa May, in her various speeches, has denied all of these things. This can only mean that she is a liar, the truth is written down in the document. In the Commons, Jacob Rees-Mogg actually said this to her face, but in respectful terms (see later).

May's close advisors

May's chief advisor, and the man cutting the actual deal, is Olly Robbins. He has been called '*May's Brexit Supremo*'. Tony Blair effectively stated that the deal was a brilliant piece of work by Robbins to keep Britain in the EU.

Robbins is a Communist who has openly expressed sympathy with the former Soviet Union and defended Stalin. The fundamental basis of the EU is an undemocratic Marxist hegemony and the global elite are Marxists.⁸ Only a really cynical Prime Minister could put such a man in charge of representing the people and getting Britain out of the EU.

Olly Robbins has been in control of the biggest stitch-up in British political history.

Theresa May's threat

May has formally stated that if the deal is not agreed (and it can't be, unless Labour changes its policy), then Britain will remain in the EU.

It seems that this deal was the plan all along so that, by default, Britain never leaves the EU.

No change

After two years of an utterly shambolic, catastrophic negotiation performance by Britain, the country is being sold down the river and is now likely to remain in the EU by default. This is nothing less than treason. In reality the last two years has been one long series of capitulations. Despite Britain's case being stronger and with the EU having much more to lose (not least £39 billion) we have totally capitulated to EU pressure.

Nigel Farage stated the Theresa May was the most duplicitous PM in British history.

Britain's strong hand

If we had a truly honest PM we would now be out of the EU and a strong player in the world instead of a being vassal slave, which is what May has brought us to. Yet Britain had the upper hand in the negotiations and this is widely recognised. The Italian Professor of International Law, Guglielmo Verdirame stated that,

With Brexit, the EU will lose its financial centre; its second largest economy; the second biggest net contributor to its budget; and a member with a political and cultural reach across the globe that not even France can match.⁹

We could also add that we are the biggest market for many key EU products such as BMW cars or Champagne. Neither France nor Germany could allow those markets to collapse or accept punishing tariffs.

⁸ Officially the EU is anti-Socialist and prevents member states from initiating Socialist policies (e.g. they cannot nationalise the railways). But in many respects it is a soviet type system: collectivism, rule by a small hegemony, no democracy, legalistically bureaucratic, anti-religion, etc.

⁹ Standpoint, November 2018.

A strong negotiator, with these cards, could have demanded all that we wanted and threatened a hard Brexit if they did not comply. Instead May has capitulated to every demand of the EU.

Key points in the Withdrawal Agreement

The esoteric jargon of this deal will keep lawyers busy for many years, it is that cumbersome (intentionally). However, the key points are these.¹⁰

- *The Transition period*: the deal allows for a never-ending transition (i.e. we stay in the EU). In this period all EU rules and laws still apply, even new laws, which we have no, say on. It is currently set to end in December 2020, but there is provision for it to be permanently extended. The purpose is to enable negotiations for a trade deal to take place. If there is no trade deal there is a 'Backstop' to ensure that there is no hard border between the UK and N Ireland, which no one wants.
- *The Customs Backstop*: this is a single customs territory (a customs union) which applies until negotiations come up with something else. This could bind Britain indefinitely to the EU's external tariff and stop Britain negotiating trade deals.
- *The Rules Backstop*: this ensures full compliance with EU's regulations. N Ireland will be under different regulations than the rest of the UK, thus more customs checks between N Ireland and the UK.
- *The Backstop review mechanism*: the EU and the UK must jointly agree to stop the backstop. Britain cannot unilaterally get out of it.
- *Governance*: a joint committee will be established to arbitrate on disputes (more bureaucracy). The ECJ would still get involved if the problem affected laws.
- *Citizen's rights*: this 'seeks' to secure 'onward movement' of UK citizens. I.e., it is not yet agreed.
- *Financial services*: nothing really agreed. Access to the single market is via the EU's equivalence framework. Regulations need to be similar for access. Commercial banking is not covered. There is no bilateral deal yet.
- *Geographical indications*: food producers' protected names have more time until the future economic relationship comes into effect.
- *Gibraltar*: protocols only apply during the transition period (unlike Cyprus and N Ireland). Spain is given more leverage.
- *Fishing*: is left out of the Backstop. Agreements to be made.

Summary


- Britain is staying in a customs union one way or another, potentially indefinitely.
- Northern Ireland is staying in a customs union and a single market. (I.e. potentially indefinitely under a foreign power.)
- England, Scotland and Wales are potentially, effectively, economically separated from N Ireland. [Which is why the DUP oppose it.]
- The EU sets tariffs without our say and collects billions for itself.
- We will not be able to set trade deals with other nations.
- The EU is setting the UK's social, environmental, competition and state aid policies without any UK influence indefinitely.
- There is no structure yet to our future trade relationship, which is likely neither Chequers nor Canada+. In fact, since the Transition Period can be indefinitely

¹⁰ Part source: PoliticoPro, Charlie Cooper, 15 November 2018.

extended, the EU has no incentive to offer any trade deal at all. It can keep us in this period and keep getting our full financial commitment.

- Britain is still under the ECJ control and EU laws.
- There is no method for unilateral withdrawal. The Backstop has no final date.
- The initial cost of this is £39bn.

The process



The success of the elite if this is agreed

- It could achieve the separation of N Ireland from Britain. This is the start of the EU's desire to see Britain carved up into territories called 'regions (which actually already exist and which have parallel shadow councils).
- It keeps Britain in the customs union and under ECJ laws potentially in perpetuity.
- EU regulations over all areas of social life and commerce will continue for the foreseeable future.
- It will mean continual payments to the EU.
- Potentially, Britain will be forced to integrate its military with the EU army.
- There is no sign of exit from the Common Fisheries Policy or the Common Agricultural Policy.
- The EU is in complete control of Britain, which cannot even leave this union without EU permission.
- Britain is a vassal state with no power and no influence.

Thus the EU gains a more powerful control over Britain than it had when Britain was a member state.

Quotes

May is pretending that she has prevented both a hard border between Northern Ireland and Eire, and the separation of Northern Ireland from the rest of Britain, but she is dishonest.¹¹

[This deal] breaks up [the UK] and makes the remains subject to laws set by others. ... When did our government and our MPs become so cowardly that they feared the

¹¹ Comment Central, Bruce Newsome, 14 November 2018.

consequences of the UK setting its own laws, picking up its own destiny and making its own geopolitical partnerships.¹²

The deal they've defended looks to be a model of the very EU bureaucracy that we wanted to escape.¹³

Whatever happened to 'No deal is better than a bad deal'? This deal is even worse than staying in the EU. Theresa May and her Cabinet have bottled it and sold this country down the river.¹⁴

It is not the death of Brexit. It is the death of democracy.¹⁵

Vassal state stuff ... Utterly unacceptable.¹⁶

Theresa May's selling point

May keeps harping on that this deal enables Britain to have its way in protecting our borders and thus satisfies the referendum decision. This is a fallacy.

Firstly, any nation should be able to control its own borders and be sovereign; we shouldn't need to capitulate on some phoney deal to regain this power.

Secondly, Brexit was about a number of things, not just immigration. We can list the following:

- *Border control*: Yes, there was a lot of feeling about the perils of immigration in some areas, which have been hardest hit by it. These areas have seen wages stagnate or plummet due to the importation of cheap labour. It has also decimated local infrastructure and culture. There are some places in the UK now where ordinary English people are a tiny minority or are almost non-existent. There are schools where only two or three children speak English. Multiculturalism is a failure (the elite plan was never for it to succeed but to prime civil war) and people are sick of it.
- *Regain the Commonwealth*: Britons wanted to end the EU's control of open border immigration that has let in hordes of culturally separate immigrants who establish ghettos and do not integrate but they are very open to the immigration of British citizens from the colonies with whom we share cultural values and history. Note the recent support for Nepalese Gurkhas.
- *Sovereignty*: The vast majority of voters wanted to see Britain regain its sovereignty and be an independent nation once more. They want to set their own laws and commercial regulations and see the return of sovereign power to the Queen and English Law. This was the prime reason for Brexit.
- *Anti-Establishment action*: For many voters it was also an anti-establishment vote. People are sick and tired of politicians that fail to represent the people but instead represent the rich, and especially bankers. The vote said, '*we have had enough*'.

¹² CAPX, Andrew Lilico, 14 November 2018.

¹³ The Conservative Woman, Kathy Gyngell, 15 November 2018.

¹⁴ Julia Hartley-Brewer, Twitter, 14 November 2018.

¹⁵ Julia Hartley-Brewer, TalkRadio show, 15 November 2018.

¹⁶ Boris Johnson, BBC Interview in the Commons.

- *Anti-austerity measures:* The vote was also a kick against the Tory-led austerity measures which have ravaged the country and increased the numbers of poor people (as a recent UN study confirms).
- *Anti-EU:* There was also a large element of exasperation with the EU after decades of seeing its totalitarian, overly bureaucratic, badly managed, corrupt antics. We did not want to be in this club.
- *Separation from a failing organisation:* Many are fearful about the coming demise of the Euro and the economic chaos that will fall upon all Europe. They wanted to be as far divorced from that as possible.
- *Anger at EU recent actions:* many were appalled by the way that the EU treated Greece; by the way it despotically overruled Italy; by its imposition of huge migrant quotas; and by the way it has divided Europe into a prosperous north and an economically weak south, where youth unemployment is huge.
- *EU history:* More and more people have discovered the history of the EU and learned that it has always been a conspiracy to destroy nation states and replace them with a United States of Europe. It was never an economic alliance (which is what we were told when we joined – a lie) but was always about greater and greater integration politically and economically from the very beginning. We were lied to in 1970-71 and we want out of this lie.
- *Law:* Very many people want to revert to British Common Law and the Constitution, which the EU has ridden roughshod over. It has been illegal for Britain to be under the domination of a foreign state according to the Constitution (e.g. Bill of Rights, Coronation Oath etc.). Now is the time to put that right.
- *Keeping out of an EU army:* Despite May's promise that we will not join a European army, the Chequers Draft and the Withdrawal Agreement, plus other technical memos, sign us up to the European Defence Fund, the European Defence Agency, the EU defence industrial programme etc. No Briton wants us to be in this supranational force under the control of foreign generals.
- *Foreign trade:* Many people, including many entrepreneurs, want to leave the EU so that we can negotiate trade deals and open up markets with the rest of the world, especially the Commonwealth, which we stabbed in the back when we joined the EU.
- *Cheaper prices:* Finally, some wanted to leave the EU to be able to save money on the things that EU tariffs make unnecessarily expensive. This affects the poorest people the most.

The harping on about border control is a smokescreen. Britons had various reasons for deciding to leave the EU but the chief reason was to regain our historic, sovereign, independent power.

What a genuine political leader should do

- First, there should be a new Prime Minister who is a Brexiteer because that was the will of the people. It may be wise to have an interim PM whose sole job is to achieve Brexit and then resign. David Davis or Jacob Rees-Mogg would be suitable candidates.
- A new Cabinet must be chosen of which the majority are Brexiteers.
- Immediately the Downing St Chief of Staff, Gavin Barwell, the chief Brexit negotiator, Olly Robbins and the Cabinet Secretary, Mark Sedwill, should be sacked.
- The new government must decide to leave the EU as quickly as possible and then concentrate on running the country properly.

- The Withdrawal Agreement must be entirely abandoned immediately.
- The basis for leaving the EU must be what was voted on twice: leaving the Customs Union and the Single Market, and the jurisdiction of the ECJ.
- This should be done on a ‘no-deal’, WTO terms exit. We waste no more time in negotiations, we just leave.
- All government departments should then be instructed to prepare for every eventuality of this immediately.
- The EU should be informed that after March 2019, we will negotiate a Super-Canada trade deal when we are ready.
- Military integration with the EU (EU Defence Union and PESCO¹⁷) should cease immediately.
- Confirm that genuine EU citizens living in Britain can favourably apply for UK citizenship and remain. Inform the EU that we expect them to do the same for British ex-patriots.
- We are not legally constrained to pay the EU any money whatsoever; also a large share of EU infrastructure was paid for by us and theoretically belongs to us. That can be offset against any obligations. We should pay any necessary moral obligations such as severance pay, pensions etc.
- There should be a public enquiry investigating whether Olly Robbins and Theresa May, and any others involved in the Withdrawal Agreement, committed treason, as an example to future politicians. Any punishment should be expulsion from public office, not criminal charges.

The government should do these things swiftly and effectively with the minimum amount of debate and discussion because they are policies that the nation voted for twice.

Britain - a lesson and a warning

The EU could never allow Britain to get a good deal and leave the EU in an orderly fashion, still less could it allow Britain to forge its own trade deals and prosper on the world stage. If it did this it would encourage several other EU member states to continue with their preference to leave as well and the EU would be finished. Not only smaller states like Hungary, Portugal, Greece and the Czech Republic have a majority (or near majority) of people wanting to leave but so does France, The Netherlands and Denmark, while even Germany and Italy have a high proportion of dissenters.

Britain had to be punished and seeds of civil unrest encouraged. Brexit had to be shown to be a damaging event; thus the continual predictions of economic doom that have no basis in substantial fact. This is a tactic long used and always shown to be a lie (remember the Exchange Rate Mechanism threats and the Treasury’s warning about post-Brexit chaos – all lies). EU conditioning is being constantly poured upon the Brexit populace to scare people, create a mood of fear and thus make people compliant. The EU also whips up anger amongst Remainers, leading to violent protests and demonstrations.

The reality is that, as many economists and commentators have demonstrated, leaving the EU on world trade rules would leave us in a likely prosperous long-term position (in every scenario there will be initial disruption). Businessmen have also stated that even if we had

¹⁷ Permanent Structured Co-operation: part of the EU’s security and defence policy in which nations sign up to integrate their military.

tariffs with the EU, that is not a serious problem, businesses have to deal with tariffs with other nations every day and still continue in business.

If we had simply walked away two years ago, we would now be in a period of prosperity and the national debate would be about the NHS and poverty, rather than Brexit.

How the elite works

By lying

Until satanic power is fully operative, and while the global elite develop their hold on world power, the strategy of gaining control over nations has to be by deception.

The common trick is to say one thing but use obscure legal means to do exactly the opposite. This is why politicians are constantly ridiculed for lying; history shows that their words were deceitful and their results were something other than promised.

For example US Presidents came to power promising to stop the nation going into a pointless war a long way away. Woodrow Wilson and Roosevelt both promised this. Within months, and as a result of Jewish lobbying and blackmail, they both took America into disastrous world wars. Truman took the US into a pointless failed war in Korea. Kennedy and Johnson took America into a ridiculous war in Vietnam. Bush took America into a poutiness and illegal war in Iraq; and so it goes on. Politicians promise one thing and deliver the opposite. It has always been so, yet people fall for it.

Today, Theresa May is promising to fulfil the referendum decision to leave the EU; she is doing no such thing.

Jacob Rees-Mogg's speech in the Commons is to the point:

[May] said that we would leave the customs union. Annex Two says otherwise. ... [May] said that we would maintain the integrity of the United Kingdom. A whole protocol says otherwise. [May] said that we would be out of the jurisdiction of the European Court of Justice. Article 174 says otherwise. ... What my Right Honourable Friend says and what my Right Honourable Friend does no longer match.

Rees-Mogg sent in his no-confidence letter a short time later.

Note how for many years politicians have denied that the EU seeks to build an EU army. Nick Clegg especially firmly denied this in TV interviews. All the while organisation and preparations for such an army were already underway. We are now told that establishment of this army will be complete within two years while President Macron has warned that we need such an army against America!!! Hundreds of blatant lies by politicians yet no one holds them accountable.

By propaganda

If you keep repeating a certain theme, eventually people will believe it whether it is true or not.

The global elite maintain a constant barrage of conditioning propaganda at all levels of society to push their preferred options on any given thing. Often the propaganda is actually the opposite of the truth of a thing but it matters little if there is enough news on it. Notice the recent constant barrage about Russian collusion in US politics when there was: a) not a shred of evidence for it; b) the real collusion was by Israel and this never got mentioned; c)

the US is constantly involved in manipulating the political affairs of other nations using far worse measures.

Thus Britain has been subjected to constant scaremongering and doom-saying regarding Brexit, none of which has any substance. For every economist and businessman prophesying doom, there are many more affirming that it will be beneficial. The fact is that no one knows the future for sure. What is certain is that we will be free to make our own prosperous trade deals, that certain items will be cheaper due to no EU tariffs (e.g. children's shoes), and we will not lose our trade with the EU because suppliers and manufacturers do not want to lose any money.

Also the Irish border issue has been raised to doom and gloom levels when many specialists affirm that this is unnecessary and that the issues are far simpler than the propaganda makes out. It is a smokescreen to hide other matters and foist a customs union on us.

It is curious that the major cheerleader for this lying propaganda has been Alistair Campbell, who has never been elected to any public office. Furthermore, he was the chief architect of the 'Dodgy-Dossier' which was the basis of convincing Parliament that war with Iraq was necessary – it was a pack of lies. Despite his negative credentials, Campbell has been invited to multiple newsroom interviews, appearances on BBC's Question Time, Newsnight and The Week, multiple interviews on all sorts of TV and radio programmes and newsprint articles. He has been a one-man crusader to overturn a democratic decision. This is how the elite pummel the media with propaganda.

Giving a facsimile of choice

The EU claims to be a representative democracy; this is a total sham. It is a despotic hegemony ruled by a small group of unelected officials, many of whom have been provably corrupt (the EU's accounts have never been balanced and successfully audited).

There is no democracy. The EU Parliament is a mere talking shop with no executive power at all; it cannot bring forth legislation but only debate its details. The Commission is the powerhouse.

Thus the EU pretends to offer people choice but always overrules them. When there have been demands for a referendum, these have been overruled. Note:

- The Maastricht Treaty was initially rejected.
- The Nice Treaty was rejected.
- The EU Constitution was rejected.
- The Lisbon Treaty was rejected.
- The Euro bailout was rejected.

Each time the votes were ignored. People were made to vote again until the EU got what it wanted or the policy was re-packaged.

Lessons to learn

The global elite will use all underhand means, especially deception and outright lies, to get their way. If they can't achieve it one way they will achieve it in another.

Democratic processes are pointless when the governments have already capitulated to global powers. Referendums¹⁸ count for nothing.

The media is used to condition people to do what the elite wants them to do. This becomes constant propaganda.

There is no such thing as an honest government.

Unelected hegemonies are part of Satan's plan to bring about a devilish totalitarian world government. Thus the EU fabric must be protected at all costs.

Christians should avoid being closely connected to any political party, which will ultimately concentrate on fulfilling satanic objectives in power. [Theresa May was a clergyman's daughter, lived a quiet life and appeared to be a woman of integrity. While in power she is committing treason, lying to the people, fulfilling the global elite's objectives and riding roughshod over democracy.]

Foreign political alliances always lead to unforeseen problems.

The Christian's response to all this

Now I am not losing any sleep about recent events or whether or not we leave the EU. I believe that we should leave the EU because it is a corrupt totalitarian state where unelected officials rule this country from a distance and overturn our Common Law and Christian heritage; yet I will not be demonstrating or protesting in the streets about this.

God is sovereign

The first reason why I am not awed by all this is that my faith is in God who controls history according to his eternal purpose. God is in charge, not man; why should I fear and fret about what men do? No governor can do anything unless our sovereign God allows it for an ulterior motive.

If God allows the EU to remain in control of Britain, or even if Britain becomes a vassal state of an evil dictatorship, then my faith still remains in God.

I am dead to the world

The second reason is that I am dead to the world. I am not caught up in its politics and refuse to get out of my pram if things do not go the way I want them to. My focus of attention is upon the world to come not this world.

This does not mean that I ignore what is going on, indeed I must be wise about current events, but I refuse to be fearful about them or get immersed in them, or allow them to intrude in my life.

The world is like the Titanic, it is going down and nothing can stop it. Rearranging the deck chairs on the ship is not going to help anything in the long run.

We declare the truth at all times

While not being involved in worldly politics (i.e. not being activists) we must still be a witness to the truth all the time. Thus in the current situation my job is to analyse what is

¹⁸ A gerund or a neuter gerundive of the Latin *referre*; hence 'referendums' or 'referenda' are both acceptable.

going on and comment on the truth of it. My job is to witness to what God would want, what is right. I should also condemn what is evil. Christians must hold their leaders to account and public scrutiny

Furthermore, I should point out what I believe is the enemy's strategy in current events and explain the background so that Christians do not get involved in wicked things by mistake, such as voting for unrighteous politicians.

Scripture quotations are from The New King James Version
© Thomas Nelson 1982

Paul Fahy Copyright © 2018
Understanding Ministries
<http://www.understanding-ministries.com>